

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/644,333	Applicant(s) DISSE, BERND	
	Examiner Vickie Kim	Art Unit 1618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vickie Kim. (3) _____.
- (2) Ms. Small, Andrea. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Verification of applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to last office communication(10/15/04) was made, although applicant indicated that there is no intention to abandon the instant application. Since there is no status inquiry filed or there is no record showing returned mail(to sender(PTO) due to incorrect address(not deliverable as addressed), the application is properly abandoned for the reasons set forth in PTO-1432. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b) should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term if applicant wishes to continue the prosecution of this case. .